

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,601		03/04/2002	Theodore Jay Verbrugge	8877L	6578	
27752	7590	04/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		GAMBLE CO	KUHNS, A	KUHNS, ALLAN R		
		ROPERTY DIVIS CHNICAL CENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENT	ER HILI	AVENUE	1732			
CINCINNATI, OH 45224				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

			4/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/090,601	VERBRUGGE, THEODORE JAY				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
ı	Allan Kuhns	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T the date on which the petition under 37 C	ng date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension			
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ownely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	originally set in the final	Office action; or			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI</li> </ol>						
2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	s.			
NOTE:						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje</li> </ol>	ction(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	Γ place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\square$ will not be entered or b) $\boxtimes$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-15</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·				

Continuation of 10. Other: To the examiner, the issue involves the statement in Garneau at column 3, lines 51-52 that the mold half 27 is drawn away "in a direction exactly perpendicular to the face surfaces and the parting line". The face surfaces are denoted by 31 and 32 in Garneau and the plurality of members extend into the cavity vertically from top to bottom or bottom to top in a direction perpendicular to the face surfaces and parting plane. Thus when the mold 27 is drawn away in a direction perpendicular to the face surfaces and parting plane, that direction of drawing away (separation direction as instantly claimed) is parallel to the plurality of members extending into the mold cavity. The examiner does not agree with applicant's assertion that Figs. 9-11 clearly show mold halves with members 33,34 extending into the plane of the figure (perpendicular to the page).

allm R. Kha

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

4-12-09